

Bremen



Gradient noise:

Specify the gradients at integer points (instead of at values):



- Interpolation:
 - At position *x*, calculate y₀ and y₁ as values of the lines through x=0 and x=1 with the previously spec'd (random) gradients
 - Interpolate y_0 and y_1 with a blending function, e.g.

or
$$\begin{array}{l} h(x) = 3x^2 - 2x^3 \\ q(x) = 6x^5 - 15x^4 + 10x^3 \end{array}$$







Advantage of the quintic blending function: second derivative at x=0 and x=1 is $0 \rightarrow$ the entire noise function is C^2 -continuous

Ken Perlin

• Example where one can easily see this:



Cubic interpolation



Quintic interpolation



Bremen

- Gradient noise in 2D:
 - Set gradients at integer grid points
 - *Gradient* = 2D vector, **not** necessarily with length 1
 - Interpolation (as in 1D):
 - W.l.o.g., $P = (x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$
 - Let the following be the gradients: g_{00} = gradient at (0,0), g_{01} = gradient at (0,1), g_{10} = gradient at (1,0), g_{11} = gradient at (1,1)
 - Calculate the values z_{ij} of the "gradient ramps" g_{ij} at point *P* :

$$z_{00} = g_{00} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \qquad z_{10} = g_{10} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x - 1 \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$
$$z_{01} = g_{01} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y - 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad z_{11} = g_{11} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x - 1 \\ y - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$









- Blending of 4 z-values through bilinear interpolation:

$$egin{aligned} & z_{ imes 0} &= (1-q(x))z_{00} + q(x)z_{10} \;, & z_{ imes 1} &= (1-q(x))z_{01} + q(x)z_{11} \ & z_{ imes y} &= (1-q(y))z_{ imes 0} + q(y)z_{ imes 1} \end{aligned}$$

- Analogous in 3D:
 - Specify gradients on a 3D grid
 - Evaluate 2³ = 8 gradient ramps
 - Interpolate these with tri-linear interpolation and the blending function



• And in *d*-dim. space? \rightarrow complexity is $O(2^d)$!



Simplex Noise

d-dimensionaler simplex: =

combination of d+1 affinely independent points

- Examples:
 - 1D simplex = line, 2D simplex = triangle,
 3D simpex = tetrahedron
- In general:
 - Points P₀, ..., P_d are given
 - d-dim. simplex = all points X with

$$X = P_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{d} s_i \mathbf{u}_i$$

with

$$\mathbf{u}_i = P_i - P_0$$
 , $s_i \ge 0$, $\sum_{i=0}^r s_i \le 1$

d











- In general, the following is true:
 - A *d*-dimensional simplex has *d*+1 vertices
 - With equilateral *d*-dimensional simplices, one can partition a cube that was suitably "compressed" along its diagonals



- Such a "compressed" *d*-dimensional cube contains *d*! many simplices
- Consequence: with equilateral *d*-dimensional simplexes, one can partition *d*-dimensional space (*tessellation*)





- Construction of the noise function over a simplex tessellation (hence "simplex noise"):
 - Determine the simplex in which a point *P* lies
 - Determine all of its corners and the gradients in the corners
 - Determine (as before) the value of these "gradient ramps" in P
 - Generate a weighted sum of these values
 - Choose weighting functions so that the "influence" of a simplex grid point only extends to the incidental simplexes







- A huge pro: has only complexity O(d)
- For details see "Simplex noise demystified" (on the course's homepage)
- Comparison between classical value noise and simplex noise:



19





- 4 noise functions are defined in the GLSL standard: float noise1(gentype), vec2 noise2(gentype), vec3 noise3(gentype), vec4 noise4(gentype).
- Calling such a noise function:

 $v = \text{noise2}(f^*x + t, f^*y + t)$

- With *f*, one can control the spatial frequency,
 With *t*, one can generate an animation (*t*="time").
- Analogous for 1D and 3D noise
- Caution: range is [-1,+1]!
- Cons:
 - Are not implemented everywhere
 - Are sloooooooow...



Example: Application of Noise to our Procedural Texture



Our procedural brick texture (please ignore the uneven outer torus contour, that's an artifact from Powerpoint):







- Goal: repeatable noise function
 - That is, f(x) always returns the same value for the same x
- Choose fixed gradients at the grid points
- Observation: a few different ones are sufficient
 - E.g. for 3D, gradients from this set are sufficient:



 Integer coordinates of the grid points can be simply hashed→ index into a table of pre-defined gradients



simple global effects

Light Refraction

What does one need to calculate the refracted ray?

With shaders, one can try approximations of

- Snell's Law: $n_1 \sin \theta_1 = n_2 \sin \theta_2$
- Needed: n, d, n₁, n₂

G. Zachmann

- Everything is available in the fragment shader
- So, one can calculate t per pixel
- So why is refraction so difficult?
 - In order to calculate the correct cutting point of the refracted ray, one needs the entire geometry!









W





- Goal: approximate transparent object with two planes, which the incoming & refracted rays intersect
- Step 1: determine the next intersection point

 $P_2=P_1+d\mathbf{t}$

- Idea: approximate d
- To do that, render a depth map of the backfacing polygons in a previous pass, from the viewpoint
- Use binary search to find a good approximation of the depth (ca. 5 iter.)







- On the binary search for finding the depth between P_1 and P_2 :
 - Situation: given a ray t, with t_z < 0, and two "bracket" points A⁽⁰⁾ and B⁽⁰⁾, between which the intersection point must be; and a precomputed depth map
 - Compute midpoint M⁽⁰⁾
 - Project midpoint with projection matrix $\rightarrow M^{\text{proj}}$
 - Use $(M_x^{\text{proj}}, M_y^{\text{proj}})$ to index the depth map $\rightarrow \tilde{d}$
 - If $ilde{d} > M_z^{ ext{proj}} \, \Rightarrow \, ext{set} \, A^{(1)} = M^{(0)}$
 - If ${\widetilde d} < M_z^{
 m proj} \, \Rightarrow \, {
 m set} \, B^{(1)} = M^{(0)}$



00000



- Step 2: determine the normal in P₂
 - To do that, render a normal map of all back-facing polygons from the viewpoint
 - Project P₂ with respect to the viewpoint into screen space
 - Index the normal map
- Step 3:
 - Determine t₂
 - Index an environment map









- Many open challenges:
 - When *depth* complexity > 2:
 - Which normal/which depth value should be stored in the depth/normal map?
 - Approximation of distance
 - Aliasing









With internal reflection

The Geometry Shader

Bremen

W



- Situated between vertex shader and rasterizer
- Essential difference to other shaders:
 - Per-primitive processing
 - The geometry shader can produce variable-length output!
 - I primitive in, k prims out
 - Is optional (not necessarily present on all GPUs)
- Note on the side: stream out
 - New, fixed-function
 - Divert primitive data to buffers
 - Can be transferred back to the OpenGL prog ("Transform Feedback")













- The geometry shader's principle function:
 - In general "amplify geometry"
 - More precisely: can create or destroy primitives on the GPU
 - Entire primitive as input (optionally with adjacency)
 - Outputs zero or more primitives
 - 1024 scalars out max
- Example application:
 - Silhouette extrusion for shadow volumes









- Another feature of geometry shaders: can render the same geometry to multiple targets
- E.g., render to cube map in a single pass:
 - Treat cube map as 6-element array





Some More Technical Details



Input / output:







- In general, you must specify the type of the primitives input and output to and from the geometry shader
 - These need not necessarily be the same type
- Input type:

- value = primitive type that this geometry shader will be receiving
- Possible values: GL_POINTS, GL_TRIANGLES, ... (more later)
- Output type:

```
glProgramParameteri( shader_prog_name,
GL_GEOMETRY_OUTPUT_TYPE, int value );
```

- value = primitive type that this geometry shader will output
- Possible values: GL_POINTS, GL_LINE_STRIP, GL_TRIANGLES_STRIP



Data Flow of Varying the Principle Varying Variables



If a Vertex Shader Writes Variables as: then the Geometry Shader will Read Them as: and will Write Them to the Fragment Shader as:

- gl_Position
- gl_TexCoord[] -----
- gl_FrontColor
- gl_BackColor
- gl_PointSize
- gl_Layer _____ gl_LayerIn[□]

"varying"

"varying in"

gl_PositionIn

→ gl_TexCoordIn[] []

gl_FrontColorIn

→ gl_BackColorIn[]

→ gl_PointSizeIn[

gl_VerticesIn

→ gl_Position

- → gl_TexCoord[]
- → gl_FrontColor
- —→ gl_BackColor
- _____ gl_PointSize
- ____ gl_Layer
 - "varying out"



If a geometry shader is part of the shader program, then passing information from the vertex shader to the fragment shader can only happen via the geometry shader:



Bremen

UŬ





- Since you may not emit an unbounded number of points from a geometry shader, you are required to let OpenGL know the maximum number of points any instance of the shader will emit
- Set this parameter after creating the program, but before linking:

- A few things you might trip over, when you try to write your first geometry shader:
 - It is an error to attach a geometry shader to a program without attaching a vertex shader
 - It is an error to use a geometry shader without specifying GL_GEOMETRY_VERTICES_OUT
 - The shader will not compile correctly without the #version and #extension pragmas





- The geometry shader generates geometry by repeatedly calling
 EmitVertex() and EndPrimitive()
- Note: there is no BeginPrimitive() routine. It is implied by
 - the start of the Geometry Shader, or
 - returning from the EndPrimitive() call

A Very Simple Geometry Shader Program

Bremen

W



```
#version 120
#extension GL EXT geometry shader4 : enable void
main(void)
Ł
   gl Position = gl PositionIn[0] + vec4(0.0, 0.04, 0.0, 0.0);
   gl FrontColor = vec4(1.0, 0.0, 0.0, 1.0);
   EmitVertex();
   gl Position = gl PositionIn[0] + vec4(0.04, -0.04, 0.0, 0.0);
   gl FrontColor = vec4(0.0, 1.0, 0.0, 1.0);
   EmitVertex();
   gl_Position = gl_PositionIn[0] + vec4(-0.04, -0.04, 0.0, 0.0);
   gl FrontColor = vec4(0.0, 0.0, 1.0, 1.0);
   EmitVertex();
   EndPrimitive();
}
```





Shrinking triangles:





Bremen

Displacement Mapping

- Geometry shader extrudes prism at each face
- Fragment shader ray-casts against height field
- Shade or discard pixel depending on ray test







Intermezzo: Adjacency Information

Bremen

W



- In addition to the conventional primitives (GL_TRIANGLE et al.), a few new primitives were introduced with geometry shaders
- The most frequent one: GL_TRIANGLES_WITH_ADJACENCY







Bremen

W

- Suppose, we want to generate a "fluffy", ghostly character like this
- Idea:
 - Render several shells (offset surfaces) around the original polygonal geometry
 - Can be done easily using the vertex shader
 - Put different textures on each shell the generate a volumetric, yet "gaseous" shell appearance





May 2013

SS



Problem at the silhouettes:

Bremen

W

- Solution: add "fins" at the silhouette
 - Fin = polygon standing on the edge between 2 silhouette polygons
- Makes problem much less noticeable







- Idea: fins can be generated in the geometry shader
- How it works:
 - All geometry goes through the geometry shader
 - Geometry shader checks whether or not the polygon has a silhouette edge:

silhouette \Leftrightarrow $\mathbf{en}_1 > 0 \land \mathbf{en}_2 < 0$ or $\mathbf{en}_1 < 0 \land \mathbf{en}_2 > 0$ where $\mathbf{e} =$ eye vector

- If one edge = silhouette, then the geometry shader emits a fin polygon, and the input polygon
- Else, it just emits the input polygon





Silhouette Rendering



Goal:







- Technique: 2-pass rendering
- 1. Pass: render geometry regularly
- 2. Pass: switch on geometry shader for silhouette rendering
 - Switch to green color for all geometry (no lighting)
 - Render geometry again
 - Input of geometry shader = triangles
 - Output = lines
 - Geometry shader checks, whether triangle contains silhouette edge
 - If yes \rightarrow output line
 - If no \rightarrow output no geometry
- Geometry shader input = GL_TRIANGLE_WITH_ADJACENCY output = GL_LINE_STRIP



More Applications of Geometry Shaders



Hedgehog Plots:





Shader Trees





Resources on Shaders



Real-Time Rendering; 3rd edition





• Nvidia GPU Programming Guide:

developer.nvidia.com/object/gpu_programming_guide.html

• On the geometry shader in particular:



www.opengl.org/registry/specs/ARB/geometry_shader4.txt



U

The Future of GPUs?















